

# **The New World Order: Project for the New American Empire**

## **Chapter One**

### **THE AMERICAN EMPIRE PART 1: THE PAST**

#### **Opening comments**

Since World War Two America has been guilty of all three of the principal charges brought against the Nazi's at Nuremberg. However, the current "Bush White House regime" (to coin a phrase constantly used by America to describe its enemies) has taken this criminality to new levels of excess, presenting a "clear and present danger" to our freedom, independence and world peace. It's a shared opinion. No less a figure than Nelson Mandela was able to condemn America's actions over Iraq and say, "If you look at those matters [American foreign policy failures], you will come to the conclusion that the attitude of the United States of America is a threat to world peace".<sup>1</sup> No other country in the world in recent decades has invaded and attacked so many countries around the world, spends so much on its military or has killed or otherwise "terrorised" so many people.

The George W. Bush administration (as well as virtually every White House administration since World War Two), is already guilty of the first charge brought against the Nazi's – crimes against peace: the preparation, direction and initiation of a war of aggression. This is evident to all from 2001 onwards with the attack on Afghanistan and in America's massive preparation for, and eventual launching of war against Iraq in March 2003. America over the years, and in its two recent wars, has been guilty of the second and third counts against the Nazi's – war crimes and crimes against humanity (genocide). These are perpetual and well documented crimes, unleashed on a number of countries since World War Two, the latest

being Afghanistan in 2001/02 and Iraq in 2003. It is also well documented that America is also guilty of “terrorism” as defined by its own Federal Bureau of Investigations, and this book attempts to highlight some examples.

During the latter half of 2002, and on into 2003, America was planning further naked aggression against Iraq, one that it was desperate to launch and only widespread opposition delayed. A crime against peace that even the United Nations, formed at the end of World War Two to stop such aggression, couldn't stop. A war that was unjustified and comparable to Germany's unprovoked wars against European neighbours in World War Two. A war that was launched by the son of the man who last devastated Iraq and whom the American warmongers perceive as having been stood up by its leader Saddam Hussein – that great ally of America during the 1980's when Iran, and not Iraq, was the radical threat to American oil and “stability” in the Middle East. Bush is reported as saying flippantly in private that “this is the guy who tried to kill my dad”, referring to an alleged plot against President Bush Snr. I wonder if Saddam Hussein's sons said the same thing about Bush when he ordered the bombing of Iraq, and in particular attacks that targeted their “dad” personally.

Lyn Cheney, the wife of the American Vice-President, was interviewed on America's FoxNews cable network on 26 December 2002. She said that America must do everything it can to keep “violence away from our country”. That's absolutely correct – the violence is best left in the dozens of countries that America attacks or supports one warring faction or another in. Millions of people killed and probably tens of millions maimed by American wars or interference in internal affairs is all okay as long as it's kept away from American soil (apart from criminal and gun violence of course). Mrs Cheney reflected on the “hard times” of the past year, although she didn't elaborate exactly what “hard times” had occurred in America in 2002. The “hard times” are to be suffered by those attacked by America or impoverished by “globalisation”. Such is the shockingly one-sided ignorance of the death and destruction wrought by American war making over the past 50 years and is a good example of the violent and hypocritical American psyche.

Another example occurred three days later, with a typically bellicose introduction to a commentary show. In response to an Iraqi scientist claiming

that aluminium tubes obtained by Iraq were not destined for a “clandestine” nuclear weapons program (a truth since confirmed by United Nations inspectors – see Chapter Six), the shows presenter said in a suitably loud voice: “Is this another ploy to stop Coalition forces from going in?” Then North Korea was mentioned: “Are we capable of winning two wars at once?” The Secretary of Defence, Donald Rumsfeld, was then shown declaring that, yes of course, America is capable of fighting and winning a war on two “fronts”. America is preparing itself to fight not just two wars at once, but “multiple simultaneous” wars, in its current policy formulations (see the *American plans for world domination: the think tanks* section of Chapter Two).

Then along came the fortuitous September 11 attacks. A “strike against freedom” almost surely hailed in secret by America’s scheming right-wing elite plotting to spread American domination through decades of CIA plots, assassinations, coups and wars, and now armed with a fantastic justification to accelerate this campaign. September 11 has now passed into history as the “unprovoked” Pearl Harbour-like attack. The official story is that this attack pushed an unsuspecting, peace-loving and well-meaning Nation into a war to slay motiveless evildoers on behalf of an indebted world. The reality is practically the opposite.

The world is fast falling under the sphere of interest and domination of America and its New World Order. Countries are fast becoming nothing more than satellites of American power, dependent on international aid, trade and arms, just as surely as the satellite nations of Eastern Europe that fell under the sphere of German power in the 1930’s and early 40’s. Historian Alan Bullock, summed this up when he wrote this of the German Minister of Economics’ tour of the Balkan states at the end of 1938: “His visit underlined the state of economic dependence upon Germany in which all these countries fell – Hungary, Rumania, Bulgaria, and Yugoslavia – now found themselves...After the annexation of Austria and the capitulation of Munich these countries had to recognise that they were in the German sphere of influence and must shape their policy accordingly...They could pursue tactics of procrastination and evasion...but this was the limit of their independence”.<sup>2</sup>

Exactly this is occurring today, with America in the place of Germany. Countries all over the world are becoming reliant on American funding and whenever “international support” is needed, the bribes are dispensed. Compare this to Colin Powell’s comments on 20 July 2001 when he made an important trip to Asia to boost relations for the coming Afghanistan invasion (see below under the *American oil wars* section of Chapter Two), and to American demands from April 2003 onwards that Syria and Iran “shape their policy accordingly” in the face of the new (American) order in the Middle East.<sup>3</sup> No one can deny the similarities between German influence over its Eastern and Southern European neighbours and America’s influence over many countries of the world now.

Since America launched its “war on terror”, which is really a “war on freedom”, its military, including special forces, have been sent to a number of countries including the Philippines, Pakistan, Yemen, Qatar and former Soviet Republics such as Georgia – not to mention all the other countries that America already virtually occupy, including for example, Colombia. Each war is also another step towards America creating new satellite states and overseas bases. Two intractable countries, ones America couldn’t control through other subversive means, in the shape of Afghanistan and Iraq, were invaded and directly occupied. Others like Syria and Iran must tread wearily. Most countries however, have simply become American satellite states and puppets with no other choice but to prostrate themselves before the world’s ruler.

By April 2002, it was clear that Pakistan was on the way to losing its independence and falling into the American Empire, a phrase used freely by US Congressman Ron Paul and well-known conservatives such as Pat Buchanan, by allowing American troops in to hunt for Al Qaeda and Taliban “remnants”. The Pakistan people showed their disapproval by electing in October 2002, religious parties who sympathise with the Taliban and who won control of frontier regions that border Afghanistan.<sup>4</sup> The MMA, which stands for Joint Action Forum, won power in the North West Frontier Province, and opposes Pakistan’s participation in America’s war and wants American troops to leave Pakistan.<sup>5</sup> That’s America’s much-vaunted democracy in action. America has to realise that Western populations may fall under the American spell, but it is a different matter for the rest of the

world. As mentioned above Yemen had allowed American forces into the country to hunt “terrorists” and to train its military, primarily for huge bribes and to prevent itself from being invaded in an Afghanistan-like operation (see the *Western “terrorism” & propaganda* section of Chapter Three for more on Yemen).

Earlier American troops had entered the Philippines to help its military hunt down the tiny Abu Sayeff group consisting of around 70 fanatics hiding away in the jungle – but responsible for kidnapping and killing a number of Westerners. This was the catch, as well as the groups alleged contacts with Al Qaeda, despite the fact that a much larger group, the Moro Islamic Liberation Front, consisting of thousands of well-armed fighters, presents a bigger threat to the authority of the Philippine government. There is the real danger that America will become involved in two Vietnam-like wars, in the Philippines and Colombia, in order to protect and support these favoured American-friendly regimes against rebel groups challenging their authority.

Over the past 50 years American foreign policy has been one of extraordinary savagery, war making and worldwide interference. In fact, America has been the most aggressive and war making country in the world since World War Two. If it hasn’t been invading countries and killing people directly, then it has been supporting one, or both, warring factions with funds, arms and training to make better and more effective killers out of the protagonists. Many a conflict has been inflamed by America to further its aims, rather than carrying out policies to bring an end to them. Policies to achieve this would include condemning the warring parties, providing no support whatsoever save for humanitarian relief to affected civilians and the imposition of an arms embargo to stop the flow of weapons to the conflict zone. These measures would strangle the conflict rather than encourage and inflame it and has been achieved at times, but only when American geopolitical goals have not been served by the conflict. The other alternative, apart from arming, is to actually train the perpetrators directly, schooling in the arts of torture, assassinations and “counter-insurgency” – the term meaning the crushing of anyone opposing the American supported side. This training occurs at America’s great school of international terrorism, best known as the School of the America’s, based at Fort Benning, Georgia.